## RECEIVED

Corres. and Mail

APR - 1 2002

**Attention: Box After Final** Response Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116

BOX A TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800 Expedited Procedure Requested

**PATENT** Customer No. 22,852 Attorney Docket No. 04329.2306

M THE	INITED	CTATES	PATENT	AND	TRADEMARK	OFFICE
IN IHE	UNITED	SIAIES	TAILINI	עוות		COLLECT

In re Application of:	)
Yoshio OZAWA, et al.	) Group Art Unit: 2826
Serial No.: 09/559,757	) Examiner: Mondt, Johannes P.
Filed: April 27, 2000	)
For: SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE AND METHOD OF MANUFACTURE	) ) )
THEREOF	)
Assistant Commissioner for Patents	
Washington, DC 20231	

Sir:

## REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION AFTER FINAL

In reply to the Final Office Action dated January 29, 2002, with a period for response extending through April 29, 2002, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration in view of the following remarks:

## REMARKS

In the Final Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1 – 4 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over Rhee (U.S. Patent No. 5,646,054) in view of Teramoto (U.S. Patent No. 5,620,910); rejected claim 5 as unpatentable over Rhee and Teramoto as applied to claim 1 and further in view of Takemura (U.S. Patent No. 5,917,221); and rejected claim 7 as unpatentable over Rhee, Teramoto, and Takemura as applied to claim 5 and further in view of Tomita et al.

FINNEGAN **HENDERSON** FARABOW GARRETT& DUNNER LLP

1300 I Street, NW Washington, DC 20005 202.408.4000 Fax 202.408.4400 www.finnegan.com